



Poison #3

Talking Is Fμ©king Bμ11\$hit! Reading Is Fundamental And Writing Leaves A Legacy, For Better Or For Worse

Talking is not thinking. And thinking is not talking. These are physiologically opposed Processes. Adequate breathing is required for proper thinking. But talking interrupts the proper flow and rhythm of breathing, which is bound to hamper thinking to some extent in the process. Proper thinking takes time. But talking can be quick. And the quicker it gets, the stupider it gets. And one doesn't necessarily lead to, or even indicate, the other.

Growing up I would often hear it said that 'you should think before you speak,' and 'it's better to have people suspect you're an idiot, than to open your mouth and confirm their suspicions.' These are just oversimplified reminders of the nature and relative values of talking versus thinking, and the trouble that comes from one at the expense of the other.

Communication of thinking often requires talking, but not always. And talking is an inferior form of communication compared to reading and writing, which do not necessarily require wasting breath on bμ11\$hit talk. But reading and writing also take time, and not everyone can be bothered with it, or will necessarily see the value in it, assuming they can even do it to begin with.

Time is short, as are memories and tempers. More often than not, talking is just noise that is quickly forgotten, in one ear and out the other as they say. People know this. And they take advantage of it, and the lack of a record if it's not a recorded conversation. This naturally increases the probability of there being bμ11\$hit in the mix.

And talking noise can be provocative of violence. Even if, and often especially if, the talking noise happens to be true. People know this too. And they seek to avoid it at the expense





of reason and logic. But people often are compelled to talk by various transient necessities that don't allow for the time and the effort for more thoughtful and rational communication.

So human discourse becomes an endless noise fest of mostly nice sounding bμ11\$hit, quickly and conveniently forgotten, along with reason and logic. And because this is the normal standard issue bμ11\$hit, it is treated as if talking bμ11\$hit is somehow a virtue, just by virtue of being normal, even to the point of being considered an obligation, as mandatory bμ11\$hit, 'We have to talk!' To the extent that this mandatory bμ11\$hit is remembered, by virtue of mindless repetition, it becomes the precious so-called 'common sense' of the idiot herd. Thus, the transiently expedient bμ11\$hit of the herd becomes the herd accepted and herd reinforced reality over time, and madness becomes defined as the negation or ignorance of this precious herd noise called 'common sense.' And sanity becomes defined as the mindless dutiful conformity of the human herd.

Literature represents a partial liberation from the bondage of herd idiocy, liberation from their so-called 'common sense.' Recourse to writing provides a record of the hypothetical bμ11\$hit in question, so that one may potentially be held accountable for it. This doesn't guarantee truth or sanity however, only slightly more plausible and consistent bμ11\$hit. They still might be able to get away with it, especially if their target audience doesn't have the capacity to tell the difference. But they will have to be at least slightly more careful about it. And the fear of getting caught will discourage any unnecessary and gratuitous deception. It is often said that 'if you always tell the truth, you don't have to remember your lies.' Otherwise, you gratuitously risk getting tangled up in your own web of deception.

None of this guarantees truth, logic, and reason however, just more care with one's lies among the more mentally competent liars. The white lies of omission become the standard tactics of the more practiced and competent deceivers and manipulators. If the missing truth comes out in some other available writing, then the audience for the white lies may catch wise,





and demand accountability. But if the truth is mixed with lies in such a way that they cannot be easily parsed out from each other, then you either accept the lies along with the truth, or you end up rejecting the truth along with the lies. Either way you get two complimentary opposite but unavoidably distorted pictures, which can then be pitted against each other for divide and conquer purposes.

However, if there exists a written record of the truth properly and clearly sorted, functioning effectively as a Rosetta Stone to sort out the cultivated confusion, then the whole scheme may falter and fail, and with it, the very interests that depend on it to begin with. Such records then are often demonized, dismissed, otherwise marginalized in some way, or just plain burned. But the records and writings don't necessarily have to be destroyed for this purpose, if the general public can't read well enough to access the records and writings in question. Mass education becomes something of a double edged sword in this respect, from the perspective of the would be tyrants in question. An educated herd is both more useful and potentially more dangerous than a completely illiterate one.

Even with competency with respect to literacy, the ability to interpret what is being read can be confused by the combination of indoctrination and operant conditioning, in the guise of education. Operant conditioning is essentially a field of animal behavior studies applied to human nature. The Pavlovian dog whistle conditioning of punishment and reward, along with associated stimulus. If one is simply indoctrinated into a mix of truth and lies as previously described, then one may still catch wise if exposed to the clear truth. But if one is simultaneously being conditioned to accept the inherently contradictory doctrine in question without thinking, it won't matter what else they may read, as they will interpret everything else through the same prism of their accepted doctrine and conditioning.

Many will of course be too far gone to recover from this conditioning, as their conditioning is largely self-reinforcing. With operant conditioning, the emotions of the subject





in question are conditioned to view, weigh, and measure themselves and the world around them with respect to the scales of the value system they are indoctrinated with. Their very self-esteem and feeling of well-being become irrevocably tied to this value system. So that any deviation or doubt becomes emotionally painful. And they may even become violent in reaction depending on the severity of their conditioning.

For those who may still have the capacity or potential for reason however, they may still be able to be reached with enough persuasion and exposure to clear proper reasoning. Thus the need to destroy or restrict access to such information still persists. In civilizations that may have already widely succumbed to this pathology, these records may be hard to come by. From the very beginning of the unsustainable racket called the Soviet Union, its fall and collapse were inevitable. But it still took about seven decades to happen. And a lot of people suffered greatly in the interim waiting for the inevitable. But even there, the truth survived, albeit buried and hidden.

One of the greatest victories for reason against the Soviet Union during the Cold War, was an internally self-inflicted wound, perpetrated by dissidents via an ad hoc fax network. Phones were bound to be tapped and monitored, but people had fax machines which could send written memos and other documents back and forth between each other as part of their ad hoc peer-to-peer fax network, which couldn't be intercepted and read at the time. This network then became a means by which people could compare notes with each other relatively free of fear of interference or punishment, so that true information could circulate to some extent, and counteract the phony narrative of the state.

Underground churches would also circulate their own counter narrative, carefully and cautiously preserving and circulating their precious texts over the course of multiple generations, as they are still struggling to do today in communist China. Of course Christianity itself started as an underground religious movement, subject to persecution and purge, a





movement that would have died off long ago if not for the careful preservation and propagation of their sacred texts in spite of all of this. Otherwise, there could be no reliable consistency to their movement to any extent without it. Civilization itself cannot even be built, let alone maintained, without some preservation of accumulated sound knowledge and understanding to some extent, with each subsequent generation building upon what the previous generation produced and preserved via the written record.

But why does any of this matter if they can just torture and shoot people, or even just hack them to little pieces in the public square like William Wallace ala the movie Braveheart? Why isn't that ever enough for them? Fear certainly works well as a control mechanism. But by itself it has limited utility. You can only instill so much fear before people begin to believe that they are screwed no matter what, and they decide to fight anyway, and to take out as many of their enemies as possible on their way out, turning fear into its opposite of courage.

Fear and obedience are not enough. Just as the inner party member said to Winston in 1984, "It is not enough for you to obey the party... You must love it!" Authority, and everything it produces or pronounces, must be viewed as and believed to be sacred. When you love something deeply enough to consider it to be sacred, you become inherently reluctant and unable to view it as anything else. The veil of the sacred hides the absurdity of contradiction. Because no one will ever wish to see any flaws or absurdities within anything nearly so sacred to them.

If one is conditioned to view an inherently contradictory dogma as sacred, then that sacredness must necessarily blind oneself to the contradiction. Cognitive dissonance results from the conflict between conditioned belief versus contradiction, both contradiction with the outside reality, as well as contradiction within itself. Humor highlights absurdity in order to correct it, as that is its natural purpose and function. Thus any real genuine humor becomes inherently a de facto enemy of the state, perhaps even more so than the memory of the written





word. Because in the event of the ultimate failure to preserve the written truth properly, all that may be left for the freedom of humanity, is the prospect of a dirty limerick being written on a bathroom wall, mocking some would be emperor parading about naked.

So if you don't want the fate of human freedom and civilization to be in the hands of some glorified amateur comedian, you might want to concern yourself with the preservation and propagation of a written record of truth. Not just the truth that came before you, but the truth of you, the truth of your experience, your testimony to subsequent generations, not just to your own immediate offspring, assuming you have any. Because the battle for truth and reason could be long and hard, spanning multiple generations in struggle before ultimate triumph. Which is by no means a given for any generation, not even the present one.

Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh...

That's All For Now Folks!

Feel Free To Make Noise Among Yourselves!

And May The Best Noise Win!

