



Spell 8

Can Vulcans Believe In God?

Their Discipline Wouldn't Allow It... But They Would Understand

There was an account on social media that had a picture of Mr. Spock as it's account avatar. But the account described it's owner as being a Christian. It's no skin off my nose necessarily, as I don't belong to the cult of Christ or the cult of Roddenberry, as an outsider Daoist infidel. I may have been baptized and raised Catholic, but choices made for me as a baby don't necessarily have to mean anything to me now. But It got me wondering about the Vulcan philosophy and the Christian faith, and whether or not they are necessarily compatible or incompatible.

In terms of the broad brush strokes as depicted in the various TV shows and movies, the basic tenants of the Vulcan philosophy are that the animal nature is primal and dangerous (my words), and that logic is the only trustworthy refuge, from the animal nature of the Vulcans that nearly destroyed their species before they turned to logic. As depicted in the fiction, the Vulcans are not without emotion. They only suppress it so that it doesn't interfere with logic, and take over with the animal passions.

Putting aside this suppression of emotions as an extreme, the notion that logic is the only consistent and trustworthy guide out of this otherwise primal death trap, is not necessarily incompatible with the Christian concept of Logos, depending on your definition of logic or Logos. Logic is best and most simply described as a sorting method for reality. It is fundamentally about what is, versus what is not, and sorting through all the noise, in order to clarify what is. Fundamentally it is about truth. But logic's power to ascertain the truth is a function of it's limitations, in terms of limiting what can or cannot be said logically, basic rules





like not being able to prove a negative. Because you can never truly know if something doesn't exist, but you can prove it exists, if there's evidence. As the Christians like to say, and are perfectly logical to do so, 'Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence.'

But a consequence of this limitation is that there are fundamental bounds, beyond which logic must have nothing to say one way or the other, in order to remain logical. But beyond that, questions remain, questions that cannot be answered by logic, but must be addressed to deal with the fear of the unknown, if nothing else. People of faith often talk about how their feelings are one of the primary basis of their faith, besides scripture. The talk of how they know God, and feel his presence. And there is talk of others being disconnected from God, out of touch with the divine. The Vulcan extremism of suppressing the emotions, would seem to be counter productive to feeling connected to God at a minimum, if there be a God.

But there is also the Christian concept of Logos. The concept of logos has a close relation to logic, and not just linguistically. The Greek word logos literally just means word, discourse, or reason, essentially just the Greek word for logic. But in the context of Christianity it takes on greater spiritual meaning and significance. I'm no expert on the Christian version. Please pardon me any mistakes in advance. I'm only a outsider Daoist infidel after all. If I may oversimplify, and take a few liberties for the sake of discussion, put simply God/Jesus = truth = the word = Logos, with a capital L and everything, as it is also used as another name for Jesus within the Christian context.

If I were to define the Christian Logos more simply, I would define it as Logos = logic + faith. Logos in this definition would be the combination of all that can be said by logic, plus that which lies beyond it's limits, and is therefore strictly a province of faith. Because you have to choose to believe or disbelieve without proof, or any likelihood of proof, but not just anything. I left out 'the word' in my simplified definition because I have a hard time taking





words too seriously in general, in terms of conveying truth. But the words of scripture are a key component of Christian Logos proper.

To the extent that words do convey truth, they conform with God, but only to the extent that they do so, if you believe in God. But words are finite, transient, and ephemeral things, shifting, twisting and transforming over time, often under the influence of, and subject to the corruption by, the spiritual and intellectual poison of politics. But without words, there is only just vague ill defined feelings, but with no capacity to communicate the truth of the feeling. There is simply no means to sort through any seemingly conflicting feelings, without any possibility of an explanation for the feelings, not even a name.

With those limitations in mind, logicians seek to maximize the logical accuracy, consistency, and effectiveness of words as a means of communication for logic and truth, by developing numerous logical formulations and definitions. Mathematics itself is just another language in a way, designed to accurately and consistently convey numerical and geometric truth of reality. And likewise, the power in mathematics lies within it's limitations. It cannot truly ever say rationally, and mathematically, that $2 + 2 = 5$. It must always say otherwise, or it is in error, no matter what trumped up woke professors insist on arguing out of expediency, like math is racist and other nonsense.

But in spite of all of these limitations, words and math are what have built our civilization. To the extent that they can convey truth, they provide a record of information that can be passed on by virtue of written records, notes, and ledgers. This provides a shortcut to subsequent generations, so that they can start out better, and go farther, and provide a further head start to those who follow them. The importance of 'the word' in that respect cannot be underestimated, but it's important to keep words in their proper place, fully cognizant of all their limitations, lest we get carried away with pointless and endless literal minded arguments over biblical semantics.





Over the multi generational process of human history, words and math have built our civilization and developed the discipline of the scientific method. Fundamental to science is the value of the empirical observation of reality, as the starting point for scientific truth. But for truth to be truth, it cannot contradict anything else that is true. If there is any such truth that seems to contradict some other truth, then there must be something at least a little wrong or incomplete, with one or both of these alleged truths, or there must be something missing that reconciles them, or some combination thereof. But noticing a seeming contradiction doesn't necessarily qualify as disproving or debunking. It only begs questions, questions that may or may not be answerable by logic, within the bounds of it's proper limitations.

When left with an apparent contradiction that cannot be sorted by means of logic, it is only natural to follow one's feelings on the matter, in the absence of any other indication to act as a guidepost, or a tie breaking referee where applicable. But the fictional Vulcan extremists suppress emotions to clarify logic, so there can be no Logos for them, if that's all there is to them, because feelings are at least a necessary component of faith. But are these feelings of any real logical value? Or are the Vulcan extremists potentially suffering from a severe logic error?

In evolutionary terms, evolutionary psychology seeks to explain human emotions in terms of biological survival necessity. In short, feelings serve logical purposes, by logical necessities, and by logical means, but not necessarily consistently or reliably, as a perpetual work in progress by evolution. In the Bible, it said that man is made in God's image, a very confusing word choice for 'the word.' Dismissing literal minded interpretations by people who talk like they expect God to look like George Burns (Oh God, 1977), I've wondered what the hell that meant. I've also been puzzled in the past by the conundrum, of how can a supposedly supreme being, with an omnipotent all powerful will, and consequently the creator of a completely deterministic universe, but somehow manages to grant free will to it's human creations? How can that possibly work?





In a completely deterministic universe, nothing is ever truly random indeterminism. Because nothing ever can be. But in an infinite and eternal universe, there are an infinite number of factors and elements at play, leading to a deterministic causality of infinite complexity. Human minds cannot comprehend infinite complexity. The best that we can do is notice and track the more simplistic surface behaviors of the causality, and try to derive rules that accurately describe and predict their characteristic behaviors, and try to figure out what's behind them. Chaos theory seeks to understand how this works, but using more simplified examples piecemeal, like Mandelbrot sets and fractal geometry demonstrating how a relatively simple initial set up can develop into very complex pictures. Which is how most people even know of chaos theory, just because it makes pretty pictures. But that is just the tip of the infinitely complex iceberg.

In a previous work in this series entitled Science Is Fμ©king Magic, Spell 4, Shadow Puppet Theater, I attempted but failed to prove God, but a failed proof is not necessarily a disproof, or a worthless effort. But what I tried to prove, was not necessarily the God that you the reader might want to believe in. I kept it much simpler than that. But what I tried to prove was that there must be one and only one ultimate absolute, as the prime driver of all things. I used the old conundrum of what happens when the unstoppable force meets and immovable object. Ordinarily it goes unstated that we're talking about an absolutely unstoppable force, and an absolutely immovable object. Short of that, it's just an ordinary calculation of Newtonian mechanics, and no great mystery.

But the very existence of any such thing as a truly absolutely unstoppable force, automatically precludes the possibility of there being any such thing as a truly absolutely immovable object, and visa versa likewise. The very existence of any such thing as a truly absolutely immovable object, automatically precludes the possibility of there being any such thing as a truly absolutely unstoppable force. In short, just like in the movie Highlander





(1986), “There can be only one!” If there appear to be any two hypothetical absolutes, then this must be an illusion. Either one is absolutely a function of the other, or they are both just two sides of one and the same absolute.

The second half of the proof sought to prove that there has to be at least one absolute, which is much trickier. I would argue that, in spite of appearances to the layman, the deterministic universe is in evidence all around us, with a remarkable consistency. It is a consistency that I don’t believe to be possible without some ultimate absolute prime driver to both drive and constrain the behavior of the process. But there is no way to prove that consistent behavior today, or even for the past several million years, must somehow automatically remain consistent forever. For there to be at least one absolute, the behavior must remain consistent for all eternity. But eternity never ends, by the definition of eternity. So the ultimate proof can absolutely never be given, even if it’s absolutely true.

However, proof of that is not necessarily required for the sake of our discussion here. But it does illustrate a possible explanation as to the previous questions regarding man being made in God’s image, hypothetical free will, and the logical value of feeling. But first we’ll have ourselves a small digression down the modern day real world rabbit hole of Quantum Mechanics(QM). Cue Monty Python, “And now for something completely different” (1971). Don’t panic! I’ll tread lightly.

In modern physics, there is a growing crisis of paradigm, when it comes to trying to reconcile QM with General Relativity(GR). Without going into too much detail here, I will simply refer you to a paper by Dr. Friedwardt Winterberg for a general outline of the problem, the Einstein-Myth and the Crisis in Modern Physics (2004). Many scientists find the paradigm of Plasma Cosmology(PC) appealing as a solution to the issue. I based my own efforts, at figuring out how to go faster than light, on the paradigm of PC, in Gravity is a F#cking Buzz Kill, Buzz Kill 1, Faster Than Light or Bust!.





In my conception of PC, the aether is not just the medium through which light travels in a vacuum, as the aether hypothesis originally stated. I believe that the aether is the medium for everything, all encompassing, and without which there is no medium for existence as we know it. There is no empty space, not between the planets and the stars, and not between any of the subatomic particles that make up all matter in the universe. In the QM research field of Quantum Computing(QC), scientists research how to use the behavior of atoms at the QM level, to use the fundamental substance of the universe itself effectively as a super computer. Quantum Encryption(QE) is effectively using methods of QC to hide your secrets for you.

But of course there are limits to the logical utility of any of this, as there is with any logical process or method, including the scientific method. Of particular concern within QM, is what's known as Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle(HUP). To be clear, the word uncertainty is not necessarily about randomness or indeterminism, it is just at least partially unknown. The HUP likewise is not a statement about randomness or indeterminism. It is fundamentally a statement about the limitations of our knowledge, not the limits of determinism or deterministic causality.

The HUP is only a limit on our knowledge of the causality however, not necessarily a limit on God's causality itself, if you believe in God. Where we enter an area of inquiry that logic cannot logically answer, we are in the realm of faith, whether we like it or not, logically speaking. If we can imagine the universe itself as a vast and infinite quantum super computer, then we may be able to speculate about how man could be made in God's image, supposedly with free will. If we think of God's image like a disk image, as an analogy drawn from computer science, then the image of God is essentially a copy of the operating system of the God machine. When a system becomes corrupted, sometimes all you can do is wipe the system, and reload the OS and all your saved files, and reboot the system.





If the same disk is loaded on to another computer, you've effectively cloned your computer. If a reduced but essentially similar and compatible OS is loaded on to a subsidiary computer, that computer can become a terminal in a network, one among many tied in with main servers or other servers, or even an old fashioned mainframe. For something like a hypothetical God OS, there can be no simple download. If God be God, then God is necessarily absolute, infinite, and eternal, by the definition of God. The transfer of infinite information alone would destroy anything that you tried to download it into, because it would require infinite energy to transfer it. Without a moderating filter upon the infinite, there is no human capacity to handle even so much as a fragment of it. So how is there any transfer at all? And by what mechanism is it hypothetically achieved?

In my conception of PC, one of the fundamental characteristics of the universe, is eternal sympathetic resonance. Sympathetic resonance in general is a feature of Wave Mechanics(WM). And WM is a fundamental feature of QC, as it is a part of what built it to begin with. Sympathetic resonance simply put, is like resonates with like, because it resonates in sympathy with other similar things that work the same way. And given that all matter in the universe is made from only a small number of fundamental particles as building blocks, just combined in different ways, that is a whole lot of like, to resonate with a whole bunch of other like, in a vast and infinite universe of like.

Of course there is also a law of diminishing returns with respect to WM, and the propagation of energy throughout the aether. Any wave of energy propagates out from the source, and spreads out from there, never going to zero because energy can never be created nor destroyed, by the First Law of Thermodynamics. The amplitude of the wave diminishes gradually forever, as it spreads out from the center but the total energy of the wave never goes to zero. If you consider the sum total of all such signals propagating throughout the universe forever, the entire universe could be seen as functioning as one big infinite computer network,





with the aether functioning as both it's information conduits and it's processing power. Via this hypothetical mechanism, there is at least a means make the connection physically.

If God be God, then God runs the universe with an absolute omnipotent will. Therefore we live in a completely deterministic universe, and the prime driver of all things is God. Since the prime driver of all things is God, all things must resonate in kind with the prime driver to some minimal extent, something I will simply refer to as Primary Sympathetic Resonance(PSR). In this manner, the PSR can function like a set of universal carrier waves for other signals, for itself, and for anything that resonates with it in kind.

Back in Spell 4 I mused about possible combination of the absolutely unstoppable force and the absolutely immovable object. I mused that this might result in a single absolute, with absolutely unstoppable spin, on an absolutely immovable axis. This would result in an infinite and eternal spin, of the hypothetical ultimate resonator, as the prime driver of the hypothetical PSR. In Science Is Fμ©king Magic, Spell 5, Chaos Rules, I used an analogy to describe time as function of an absolutely incorrigible instability of the physical universe, itself resulting from an absolute impossibility achieving any perfect equilibrium, among an infinite number of factors, absolutely preventing any possibility of ever stopping for all eternity.

I imagined this as a childlike god, playing with an infinite and incorrigibly brittle crystal toy, which breaks it's structure at the slightest touch and immediately reforms itself into another unique, infinite, and brittle crystal, with the childlike godling constantly tapping at the side of the crystal, jumping up and down and screaming with excitement and glee every time, shouting over and over again 'do it again!... do it again!... do it again!', never to be disappointed by dullness or repetition, with an infinite variety of possibilities that can never be exhausted for all eternity.

The our natural, organic and inescapable resonance with the hypothetical PSR, could be the download from God in this disk image of God's OS analogy, with a moderating filter on the





human mind in the form of the barrier between the conscious and subconscious minds. Without a moderating filter on the infinite, there simply is no human capacity to handle the infinite God feed. But without this connection to the infinite, there is no way to tap into the infinite complexity that allows for the veil of chaos to provide even so much as the illusion of free will. That is how free will is a gift from God in my hypothetical conception, in spite of God's omnipotent will, and an absolutely deterministic universe that results from it. This is ultimately no contradiction necessarily, just unavoidably beyond complex.

In this analogy, we are just the ghosts in the God machine, both an emergent phenomenon of it's working by virtue of evolution, and a function of the God OS, driven and constrained as an absolute function of the PSR. Everything we feel, no matter what, no matter how, and no matter why, is a function of the God OS, driven by the PSR. But they are infinitely complex in detail. And they are never truly self explanatory in terms the ultimate logic, or Logos, of their functioning, or their ultimate message, as the hypothetical God feed of the PSR.

Not only are we the ghosts in the God machine, but we are also it's cogs, but we are only cogs, mere component parts, among an infinite of number of parts, of an infinite whole, where the whole is absolutely greater than even the infinite sum of it's infinite parts. But there is nothing else besides this because only the God machine provides a place to be, and therefore hypothetically defines being. And there is no escape for all eternity, not even in death. There is no where and nothing else.

But not all cogs in the hypothetical God machine are necessarily created equal. If a cog is close in to the ultimate absolute prime driver, in terms of the chain of functional dependency, then it may be indistinguishable from the prime driver itself, from the perspective of us mere ordinary cogs. Some may even choose to believe in a hypothetical Trinity to explain the seeming contradiction, of an absolute father and son team, that give out free will while they're absolute. For an absolute to be absolute, it cannot be a function of, or even effected by,





anything else. Therefore, the ultimate absolute must be constant in it's behavior, but never frozen in time, in order to function as the prime driver. But for there to be a God's will or judgment, or even just intervention of any kind, there must be something that changes it's mind in response to the functioning of the rest of the God machine. But these are ultimately just cogs tied at the hype directly to the ultimate prime driver. Thus they are immediately prime driver adjacent, as the father and the son, adjacent to the holy ghost, effectively prime driver plus.

Further out in terms of this adjacency, relative to the prime driver itself, are the possibilities of creatures such as angels. The term angel literally just means messenger. The angels are almost always depicted talking to prophets, when they aren't actively smiting other angels, like the fallen one Lucifer, among others. They act as intermediaries between almighty God, and the not so mighty prophets, unable to handle the unfiltered infinite God feed, unlike the angels closer in adjacency to the prime driver, of the infinite and eternal God machine. But the example of Lucifer shows that being closer in, in terms of adjacency, is no guarantee of being trustworthy. Beware of angels bearing gifts. And always look the gift miracle in the mouth.

Miracles within the context of the hypothetical God machine, are not that much of a stretch, depending on your definition of miracle. If I may digress into semantics, the words supernatural and paranormal beg clarification within the context of the God machine, among other things. In Daoism, we tend to believe that there is no separation from the spiritual and the physical, and that there is no separation possible. The word supernatural implies something impossible and self contradictory in nature, a causality separate and apart from the natural causality, but still effects it, thus is still in truth a part of it. Thus almost nothing can be truly supernatural in that sense. If we consider a one way causality, from the prime driver out, the prime driver can effect but not be effected, because it is absolute, thus it is the only thing that





can ever be supernatural in that sense. ‘There can be only one!’ And there is no escape, not even in death.

The term paranormal simply refers to something that deviates from the expected and understood normal behavior, parallel to normal known behavior, but within the limits of natural causality, but apparently and effectively supernatural as far as anyone can tell the difference. Thus the terms can often be used interchangeably, if sloppily so. If a paranormal event is driven by the supernatural, or a hypothetical angelic agent thereof, then you might characterize that as a legitimate miracle, if you care to. If there is some paranormal event that is not directly the result of the supernatural, it could just be a naturally occurring extreme rarity, or otherwise, there could be fallen one fuckery afoot. But God is not the emergent miracle phenomenon. God is what kicks it’s ass, forcing it to emerge, over and over again, for all eternity. ‘Do it again!... Do it again!... Do it again!’

But what of us ghosts in the God machine? In this analogy of the God machine, the aether medium is that medium which I believe acts as a medium for all of the phenomenon of existence. As such it is the machinery of this hypothetical God machine. But there are fundamental limits to which we can peer into the workings of the God machine using the logic, reason, and the scientific method. Within the field of QM, that limit is the HUP.

The fictional physicist Sheldon Cooper once described studying QM as getting to see the universe naked. In that context, the HUP is God’s fig leaf, for proper mystery as well as decency. Sorry, but we don’t get to see God’s package, no full Monty python full of grace. In my past writings about QM, I’ve described the HUP as creating a kind of unopenable Chinese puzzle box, that you can never open no matter what to see what’s inside, but you can observe the behavior of the box, and how it interacts with everything outside the box. That part is both the province and limitation of science proper on the matter. This Chinese puzzle box of QM, is the locked God box of the God machine. It is the locked hood of the God machine that we can





never pop open in order to check out it's inner workings. We can only derive characteristics about what's inside based on what little we can observe, experience and work out on the matter from the outside. Beyond that is strictly the province of faith. It is both the province and the limitation of faith.

But the HUP is also the fig leaf for the vain human presumption of free will. Because the observed the effect of human will, is infinitely complex, produced by the infinitely complex functioning of the organic wetware cognition machine, called the human brain. At least some of that functioning seems to occur beneath the scale at which the HUP kicks in, placing it firmly inside the God box. So if free will lives anywhere, it lives inside the God box, and no where else.

And what of hypothetical reincarnation? What exactly are the physics of the afterlife, if I may be so bold as to speculate? Within a hypothetical aether medium, it may possibly be a medium for the information of what might pass for a disembodied human human soul, or hypothetical fallen ones, depending on your definitions thereof. Within this infinite medium, it may be possible to have potentially infinite multiplexing, with an infinite layering, infinite subdividing, and infinite phase separations, creating potentially an infinite number of other worlds, an infinite number of heavens, and an infinite number of hells, depending upon your definitions thereof(BYO semantics).

Perhaps the God box can sometimes be a penalty box, depending on whether you've been naughty or nice. Perhaps the God box was the house of detention that Jim Morison sent his credentials to. I hear that he has friends inside. In theory, he may may be there with them now. Since he was a naughty boy, canceling his subscription to the resurrection and everything. Hell could just be a type mirror that we are forced to stare at in the God box when we've been naughty, earning a reflective time out. To each his own hell. Pick your poison, place your bets, and take your chances. And may the farce be with you, always.





As far as possible reincarnation is concerned, I used a pair of analogies to explain reincarnation to the character Naruto, in my Naruto fan fiction, The Mad Sage of Uzushio, Chapter 13, I'll Be Seeing You.

The Mad Sage of Uzushio, Chapter 13, I'll Be Seeing You, p. 16:

Shintaro: Immortal sympathetic resonance... Think of it this way Naruto... In a legend from before the time of the Otsutsuki... There were supposedly three goddesses... known collectively as the fates... And they would would weave the tapestry of fate... where each individual thread... represented an individual human life... These threads would be woven together forming the fate of our existence... And when any individual thread was cut... that was the end of that human life... But the tapestry remains... and continues for all eternity... with only the overall continuity of the tapestry itself being truly immortal... However... with an understanding of sympathetic resonance... the reality of the tapestry of the fates... is that threads are never really cut... but submerge within the pattern of the weave... only to periodically reemerge within that very same pattern of fate... like an eternally reverberating echo... that only appears to die... only to reemerge again... But they are never really exactly the same... Because resonance is never perfect... or complete... but complex... and chaotic... So the reverberating echo is never exactly the same... but partially distorted... rhyming... instead of perfectly repeating... the eternal propagation of infinite variations... on the same resonant core theme...

Jiraiya: It's like an infinite and eternal game of telephone... I'm just the latest slightly distorted iteration... of the same eternally resonant theme... that you used to





know... as Jiraiya...

The Mad Sage of Uzushio, Chapter 13, I'll Be Seeing You, p.19-21:

Jiraiya: It is a function of immortal sympathetic resonance... the same immortal sympathetic resonance that I mentioned before...

Shintaro: Think of it... in terms of radio... Naruto...

...

Shintaro: Think of it as an infinite transceiver network... Individual transceivers come and go... But the network carries on for all eternity... Just like with the individual threads of the weave of the fates... each individual transceiver represents an individual life and identity... But no individual transceiver comes into being all alone... It is created as part of the network... inseparable from this network... except as a superficial illusion in the minds of the ignorant... The signal coming out... is a slightly distorted combination... of all the signals coming in... But not all signals resonate equally well... Especially compatible signals coming in at the time of formation... resonate with and imprint themselves upon the new transceiver in the system... Each newly born life... acquires an identity mostly formed at conception... part genetic... part resonant... never either strictly one or the other... never a perfect copy... always a slightly distorted but resonant copy... just another infinite variation on a theme... a unique individual chorus in the infinite song of eternity... carrying on as an immortal echo for all eternity within the aether... of the vast and infinite metaverse... perpetually coming back around again... as just another infinite variation... on the same resonant theme...





...

Shintaro: Every incarnated life... is essentially an imperfect copy... of an imperfect copy to begin with...

...

Shintaro: The afterlife of the aether... is part of the infinite eternal memory of the eternal metaverse itself... There is no location to the afterlife... and yet it is simultaneously everywhere all around us... co-resonant with us... but submerged beneath the surface illusion of things...

With respect to my use of term 'metaverse,' That is simply my semantic correction of the term multi-verse, which implies multiple universes. But the universe is absolutely everything, or it's not the universe, by the definition of universe. What people think of as the multi-verse, is really a meta phenomenon, emergent from the functioning of the God machine, in my analogy. And is an illusion of phase separation, and the complex, and therefor unavoidably chaotic interaction, between different phases and layers of existence. In an earlier chapter of the same fan fiction, Chapter 12, Return To The Valley Of Exile, I had one character recite a fictional textbook definition and description of what I call the metaverse.

The Mad Sage Of Uzushio, Chapter 12, Return To The Valley Of Exile, p.6-7:

Sarada: The metaverse... is a metaphysical cosmological construct of alternative plasma cosmology... In accordance with the principles of quantum mechanics... and the wave mechanics of light and gravity... It is a metaphysically emergent phenomenon... of the physical universe... an illusion of separation... born of phase separation... and the constructive versus destructive interference... of both light... and gravity... With





constructive interference... everything is in sync... and so we coexist within a common bright frame of existence... with the destructive interference of the phase cancellation... whether partial or complete... it nullifies existence... or what we are accustomed to think of as existence... either partially or completely... creating null zones of phase interference... AKA shadow zones... and shadow realms... Perfect phase cancellation is impossible... so the darkness itself can never be absolutely dark... but nebulous and confusing... Where we exist within a single resonant realm of light... among infinitely other harmonically resonant realms of light... versus the asymptotic singularity... of ultimate cancellation... of all light... The shadow realm... is where nothing can ever be truly absolutely dark and lost... but absolutely nothing can ever be clear... in a manner of speaking...

In terms of my God machine analogy, with the PSR, every resonant frequency of the PSR could be expected to form it's own continuum, with an infinite number of resonant frequencies possible, creating an infinite number of phase separated time continuum. But all must necessarily still be a part of the same God machine, run by the same God box, but just driven by different phases of the same PSR. There can be only one metaverse! Screw you Zuckerberg! Face a different book!

But what does any of this have to do with any supposedly Christian Logos? Of what relation, if any, is Logos = God/Jesus = truth = 'the word' to my hypothetical God box, and the God machine, with it's PSR of the infinite God feed? Nothing necessarily, depending on what you choose to believe. I say Logos = logic + faith! And 'the word' is still a major conundrum for me in this arrangement. The tail does not wag the dog. And 'the word' just seems like the





sound of the wagging tail, not to mention the wagging tongues. Neither tongue nor the tail wag the dog!

However, the Greek word logos literally just means word, discourse, or reason. Essentially logos is defined as the means and content of logic, which is about truth, and the ascertaining of truth in a consistent, and therefor reliable manner. But in the context of my God box, and the God machine, and especially the PSR infinite God feed, the PSR is effectively and simultaneously the raw unadulterated pure truth, the means by which it is conveyed, and the primary means by which it's will is enforced. So if we take the PSR as God's word, to further extend the analogy, then it's also God's hand to some extent. It would seem to be God's writing hand at a minimum, driving existence, and imprinting itself upon existence in the process. 'It is the hand that writes, then quickly moves away.' (Last In Line, Dio) Thus the PSR is God's writing quill, as well as his wagging tail and tongue.

In the Christian context, Jesus is Logos. It's like his sky pilot call sign. Ground control to Major Logos! Or perhaps it's like his superhero alter ego. It's the word! It's the messiah! It's Super Logos! But seriously, in Christianity, Jesus is literally the corporeal personification of truth, and the physical manifestation thereof here on earth. Could it be that the God made Jesus through the God machine? Did he use the PSR to make Jesus, and make a better conduit for the truth of the PSR, and God's will right along with it, in order to better communicate all of it? Good luck with that! I'm out! But please allow me to exit stage leftoid! Please allow me to exit with a paraphrase of a great line, from a fairly good movie. You can't stop the God signal! (Serenity, 2005)

Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh Beduh...

That's All For Now Folks!

Feel Free To Make Noise Among Yourselves!

And May The Best Noise Win!

